App F IX 4

Previous References 1941 (Mc) **File No** 9 – 41

Serial Nos 25, 28

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos

Part B for April 42

SUBJECT

Audit objection for the appointment of
conservancy Daffedars in Seringapatam Taluk

To,

The D C Mandya

The comptroller

L 9747 – 8/14 4 42

Sanctions the proposal in the –

Appointment of two Conservancy Daffedars in the Seringapatam Town Municipality

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 9 of 194 / — [App F III-2

Serial No	
25	From the Dy Commr Mandya Letter No A S 2321/41-42 dt 30-3-42 Regrets in the circumstances sanction to the appt of 2 daffedars from 1st Dec 1941, in the Seringapatam Town Municipality Draft GO submitted for orders The matter is 15 years old 2/4/42 may be approved, vide the observation made in the order 5/4/42 Law Secy Minister & S G Hm 8/4/42 Secy to G 12-4-42 Hm 13-4-42 13.4.1942 To the Dy Commr Mandya & Comptroller G O No LG 747-8 dt 14-4-42 Sanctions the appts with some observations
28	

SECY DEPT 2647 MAR 1942 GOVT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H Date 9-4-1942 No 6243

NOTE—Cont Sheet

WD 141 5—GPB—55 000—8 10 41

File No of 194

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

LS 5836/L

No A5-2321/40-41

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District, Mandya,
Dated 29th March 1942

From

C M H Ranajodha Singh, Fsq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District,
Mandya

To

H V Narayana Rao, Esq , B A , LL B ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Subject:- Audit objection for the appointment of two Conservancy Daffedars in Seringapatam Town Municipality

---

In forwarding herewith a copy of report No H C 44/40-41 dated 17th October 1941 together with copies of the Resolutions of the Municipal Council, received from the President, Seringapatam Municipal Council, on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the Local Auditors while auditing the accounts of the Town Municipal Office, Seringapatam, for the year 1939-40, have held under objection the expenditure incurred by the Municipal Council for the appointment of two Conservancy Daffedars since 1st December 1927 and have stated that the Resolution of the Council is not enough and sanction of Government has to be obtained

The President in his report states that sanction of Government was not obtained for the two appointments but payment was made from 1st December 1927 on the strength of the Resolution of the Council and sanction of provision made in the Budgets of the Municipality for the purpose Though the Municipal Council had resolved on 30th September 1927 that the scales of the two Daffedars may be revised and necessary proposition statements sent up for sanction, no action was taken

was taken to obtain sanction of Government apparently out of ignorance Somehow, the irregularity remained unnoticed till the audit of the accounts of the Municipality during 1939-40 The President, Municipal Council, has now requested that sanction of Government may be obtained for the appointments

In the circumstances reported by the President, I recommend that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for the appointments of two conservancy daffedars with effect from 1st December 1927, the date on which they were actually employed, so that objection raised by the local Audit Staff may be waived The copies of resolutions and the proposition statement received from the President, Seringapatam are enclosed for kind reference

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

— Cecil Lewis

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

Copy of report No H C 44/40-41 dated 17th October 1940 from the President, Municipal Council, Seringapatam, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, Mandya

---

In the Audit Notes for 1938-39 the auditor in Para 24 has observed thus - "Authority for the appointment of two daffedars at Rs 12/- each is quoted as Council Resolution No 13 dated 31st December 1927 The number and date of Government sanction may please be quoted as Council Resolution is no authority therefor " While searching for the Governmert order in the office I have the honour to submit that no Government order is obtained but payment is being made from 1st December 1927 as per the resolution quoted above

As the Government has approved of the scale in the sanctioned Budget of every year subsequent to 1927 and the pay that is given to the daffedars is too low, I have the honour to request you to kindly recommend to Government for sanctioning the above scale from 1-12-1927

No and date of Council Resolution -

31 - 12 27

The extracts of the Council resolution is herein enclosed

for your kind information

'Copy'

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

HSS

31 - 12 27

No and date of Council Resolution —

of Resolution

Extract of the ordinary Proceedings of Sarjapalleru
Municipal Council held on 31-12-1927

x x x x x x x x x x x x

x x x x x x x x x x x x

x x x x x x x x x x x x

x x x x x x x x x x x x

x x x x x x x x x x x x

S.d/- J.S. Krishnamurthy
President
31/12/27

Executive Officer
Municipality

Extract of the ordinary Proceedings of Municipal Council held on 31-12-27
Municipality of Bhopawar
Certified that the above is a true extract from the proceedings of the Municipal Council held on the 31-12-27
Executive Officer
Municipality

adjourned
ಸಿ- of ~~adjourned~~ meeting pro-ceedings of Seringapatam Town municipal held on 30-9-37

× × × × × × × × × ×

ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರು ಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಪೌರರಿಗೂ, ವಿಶೇಷವಾಗಿ ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೂ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಅವರು ಪಟ್ಟಣವನ್ನು ಸ್ವಚ್ಛವಾಗಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದೂ, ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಳವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಸಭೆಯವರು ಕೋರಿಕೊಂಡರು.

ಸಭೆಯವರು ಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಪೌರರಿಗೂ, ವಿಶೇಷವಾಗಿ ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೂ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಅವರು ಪಟ್ಟಣವನ್ನು ಸ್ವಚ್ಛವಾಗಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದೂ, ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಳವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಸಭೆಯವರು ಕೋರಿಕೊಂಡರು.

× × × × × × × × × ×

ಸಹಿ/- K S Padmanabhaiya
Amildar.
30-9-37

× × × × × × × × × ×

G. Venkatasubbaiah
Vice President

31 - 12 27

Meeting of proceedings of Municipal Council held on 30-9-37 adjourned

Statement showing in detail of Proposition for revision of conservancy establishment of Senugapatam Town municipality

Senugapatam Town municipality

Number and date of the last Order sanctioning present establishment	Officer by which the Proposition is laid	Nature of change										Proposition						Remarks	No. and date of Council Resolution
		Present Scale (w.e.f. 1921)						Proposed Scale from 1-12-27				Permanent		Temporary					
		Number	Designation	Pay			Average Cost	Number	Designation	Pay		Increase for 1 year	Decrease for 1 year	Increase for 1 year		Decrease for 1 year			
				Minimum	Increment	Maximum				Minimum	Increment	Maximum							
														Period	Amount	Period	Amount		
Nil & 2-05-2d. dt 0 no 2395-416 A 24 10 1921 30 6 1906 & 24-10-1921	Senugapatam Town municipality Proposition dated 11-2-22	20	Conservancy Coolies	10	1	20	20	2	Conservancy Coolies	12	1	24	20/-	-	-	-	-	-	31-12-27

A 16-11-22.

G. Chenchalaiah
for President

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

H.D.

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 14/4/42 193

Signed by

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part?

Merely on the strength of Mr Cl's Resolution & provision in the Budgets of the Mcs

Section rel

File No 9 - 41

Serial No. 29.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

Letter No A 5-2321/40 41, dated 30th March 1942, from the Dy Commr Mandya Dt, stating that the Local Auditors while auditing the accounts of the Township Office for 1939 40, have held surcharges in the expenditure incurred ~~Order thereon.—No.~~ without Govt sanction by the Mcl (C) for the upkeep of 2 cars @ Rs 12 each per day Consequences Departmental action 18th Dec 1937 & ~~that the~~ if the Local is hit enough and regret if ~~the circumstances~~ Jaul

to the ~~pay~~ appointments of
two Conservancy Daffedars
on a pay of Rs 12/- each
p.m. with effect from
1st Dec 1927, ~~as ordered~~ so that the expire may be ratified
~~by the Board in their meeting~~
~~held on 31.12.1927~~

Order No ~~GL~~ 9747-87

with the observation that it was
Sanctioned ~~by the Body in giving the grant~~
irregularly ~~as~~ to have ~~been~~ ensured by expiry with such sanction & ~~that~~ no Dafadars
should be ~~noticed~~ to be ~~kept~~ for ensuring all these years. Such ~~an~~ omissions should be
strictly avoided

HWs 8/4/42
Secy to Govt
Law Dept

To

The Dy Commr, Mangalore
& Comptr to Govt ✓

List of Enclosures

5/4

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0279—GPB—22 500—14 4 38